

ORGANIZATION NEWS

Highlights From the Rehabilitation Measures Database

This content is provided as a service by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine and is not peer reviewed by the Archives.

Measurement Characteristics and Clinical Utility of the Participation With Recombined Tools-Objective Measure in a Traumatic Brain Injury Population

Julie Schwertfeger, DPT, MBA, Roberta Kuchler-O'Shea, PT, DPT, PhD, Edeth Engel, BS, Linda Ehrlich-Jones, PhD, RN

Participation is the measure of the amount and types of meaningful activities a person engages in at a societal level.¹ Participation of people with disability in society is a primary aim of rehabilitation and yet definitions vary, and it is often not measured or reported.¹ Participation With Recombined Tools-Objective (PART-O) is a National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke traumatic brain injury (TBI) common data element that measures frequencies of participation within the subdomains of productivity, social interaction, and out and about.^{2,3} There are three Yes/No items and the rest of the items are ordinal scale with higher scores indicating more participation. Balanced scoring and Rasch scoring methods are established on the PART-O.¹ Subscale scores are calculated by taking the mean score of all items within the subscale. The PART-O demonstrates excellent construct validity (0.61-0.82) with CIQ, CHART, POPS, Mayo-Portland Participation Index, Cognitive FIM, Supervision Rating Scale, Glasgow Outcome Scale extended, and Disability Rating Scale.⁴ PART-O has adequate construct validity (0.34-0.59) with the Motor FIM, and the Satisfaction with Life Scale, excellent interrater reliability, and adequate internal consistency.^{4,5} Convergent validity is supported by adequate to excellent correlations between various commonly utilized scales and at least 1 factor of the PART-O.⁴ The PART-O can be administered in 15-30 minutes and does not require training or additional equipment. The measure and additional resources can be found on the Center for Outcome Measurement in Brain Injury website.²

This abbreviated summary provides a review of the psychometric properties of the PART-O in adults with TBI. A full review of the PART-O and reviews of over 460 other instruments for patients with various health conditions can be found at: www.sralab.org/Rehabilitation-Measures.

Please address correspondence to rehabmeasures@sralab.org.

This instrument summary is designed to facilitate the selection of outcome measures by clinicians. The information contained in this summary represents a sample of the peer-reviewed research available at the time of this summary's publication. The information contained in this summary does not constitute an endorsement of this instrument for clinical practice. The views expressed are those of the summary authors and do not represent those of authors' employers, instrument owner(s), the *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, the Rehabilitation Measures Database, or the United States Department of Health and Human Services. The information contained in this summary has not been reviewed externally.

The Rehabilitation Measures Database and Instrument Summary Tear-sheets were initially funded by the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR), Administration for Community Living, United States Department of Health and Human Services, through the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Improving Measurement of Medical Rehabilitation Outcomes (H133B090024). Current funding for the Rehabilitation Measures Database comes from the Shirley Ryan AbilityLab, the first-ever *translational* research hospital where clinicians, scientists, innovators, and technologists work together in the same space, applying research in real time to physical medicine and rehabilitation and by NIDILRR through the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Employment for People with Physical Disabilities (90RTEM0001).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Whiteneck GG, Dijkers MP, Heinemann AW, et al. Development of the participation assessment with recombined tools-objective for use after traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011;92:542-51.
2. Bogner JA. The participation assessment with recombined tools-objective. The Center for Outcome Measurement in Brain Injury. Available at: <http://www.tbims.org/combi/parto>. Accessed February 26, 2020.
3. NINDS Common Data Elements. Streamline your neuroscience clinical research using content standards that enable clinical investigators to systematically collect, analyze, and share data across the research community. Available at: <https://commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/>. Accessed February 26, 2020.
4. Bogner JA, Whiteneck GG, MacDonald J, et al. Test-retest reliability of traumatic brain injury outcome measures: a traumatic brain injury model systems study. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2017;32:E1-16.
5. Wen PS, Waid-Ebbs JK, Graham DP, et al. Psychometric properties of 2 participation measures in veterans with mild traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2018;99:S86-93.

		Measure Name:		Summary Authors:													
		Participation Assessment with Recombined Tools– Objective		Julie Schwertfeger, PT, DPT, MBA Roberta Kuchler-O'Shea, PT, DPT, PhD Edeth Engel, BS Linda Ehrlich-Jones, PhD, RN													
Administration Time:		Training Required:		Items:													
30 minutes		None		24 original, 17 revised													
Populations Reviewed:		Cost:		Score:													
Traumatic Brain Injury		Free		0-5 for each question, scores are averaged by domain (Productivity, Social Relations, Out and About). Higher scores indicate more participation.													
Acronym:		Required Equipment:		Floor/Ceiling Effects													
PART-O		The PART-O		None found ⁵													
Purpose and Administration Instructions:		Validity:															
Objectively assess participation in patients with TBI in interview format (can be done by phone)		Construct Validity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent with Community Integration Questionnaire v2 ($r=0.82$)¹ • Excellent with Craig Handicap Assessment and Reporting Technique- Short Form ($r=0.81$)¹ • Excellent with Participation Objective, Participation Subjective ($r=0.79$)¹ • Excellent with Mayo-Portland Participation Index ($r=0.69$)¹ • Excellent with Cognitive FIM ($r=0.61$)¹ • Adequate with Motor FIM ($r=0.59$)¹ • Excellent with Supervision Rating Scale ($r=-0.64$)¹ • Excellent with Glasgow Outcome Scale–Extended ($r=0.61$)¹ • Excellent with Disability Rating Scale ($r=-0.70$)¹ • Adequate with Satisfaction with Life Scale ($r=0.34$)¹ 															
Standard Error of Measurement:																	
Total score SEM = 0.21 ⁴																	
Out and About subscale SEM = 0.33 ⁴																	
Productivity subscale SEM = 0.36 ⁴																	
Social subscale SEM = 0.35 ⁴																	
Internal Consistency:																	
Acceptable Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.65$ ⁵																	
Reliability:																	
<u>Test-retest reliability:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total score ICC = 0.90 (CI 0.87-0.92)⁴ • Out and About subscale ICC = 0.79 (CI 0.73-0.83)⁴ • Productivity subscale ICC = 0.87 (CI 0.83-0.90)⁴ • Social subscale ICC = 0.87 (CI 0.83-0.90)⁴ 																	
Rasch Analysis																	
Summary Statistics	PART-O	Considerations:															
No. of item misfit	1 ^{1,5}	As of 2013, the TBI Edge group has stated they do not recommend or unable to recommend the PART-O due to limited studies in most clinical settings. They state is it appropriate for use in intervention research studies.															
Item reliability	0.96 ³ , 0.99 ¹	Abbreviations:															
Item separation	5.24 ³ , 11.36 ¹	FIM=Functional Independence Measure CI=Confidence interval															
Range of item difficulty, logits	-1.00 to 0.90 ⁵	Cut-off Criteria:															
Person reliability	0.78 ³ , 0.86 ¹	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>r</i></th> <th>ICC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>≥ .6</td> <td>≥ .75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adequate</td> <td>.31-.59</td> <td>.40 -.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Poor</td> <td>≤ .3</td> <td>< .4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					<i>r</i>	ICC	Excellent	≥ .6	≥ .75	Adequate	.31-.59	.40 -.74	Poor	≤ .3	< .4
	<i>r</i>	ICC															
Excellent	≥ .6	≥ .75															
Adequate	.31-.59	.40 -.74															
Poor	≤ .3	< .4															
Person separation	1.87 ³ , 2.47 ¹																
Strata	2.8 ⁵																
Eigenvalue of primary dimension (% of variance explained)	9.8 (36.6) ⁵																
Eigenvalue of first contrast (% of variance explained)	2.9 (10.7) ⁵																