

ORGANIZATION NEWS

Highlights From the Rehabilitation Measures Database

This content is provided as a service by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine and is not peer reviewed by the Archives.

Measurement Characteristics and Clinical Utility of the Urinary Incontinence Quality of Life Scale in People With Incontinence and Multiple Sclerosis

Jordan Keller, MS, RN, Lindsay Long, MS, RN, Kristian Nitsch, MS, Jill Smiley, MPH

Urinary incontinence (UI), which can occur at any age, involves an unintentional loss of bladder control. UI can occur in individuals across a broad range of diagnoses and medical conditions¹⁻⁴ and is a frequently encountered problem in people with multiple sclerosis (MS). UI ranges in severity, but even comparatively minor cases can have a significant effect on people's quality of life and well-being.¹ Thus, it is important to assess for, diagnose, and treat UI in people who have MS as soon as possible.¹ The Urinary Incontinence Quality of Life Scale (I-QOL) is a self-report measure designed to assess the effect of UI on patients' health-related quality of life and has been validated in patients with MS.¹⁻³ The I-QOL, which can be administered in <5 minutes, consists of 22 items and is composed of 3 subdomains: (1) Avoidance and Limiting Behaviors, (2) Psychosocial Impact, and (3) Social Embarrassment.³ Both a total score and individual subdomain scores can be calculated to help inform clinician decision making and treatment planning.

This abbreviated summary provides a review of the psychometric properties of the I-QOL in people with MS.

A full review of the I-QOL and reviews of ~350 other instruments for patients with various health conditions can be found at www.rehabilitationmeasures.org. Please address correspondence to rehabmeasures@ric.org.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Eyigor S, Karapolat H, Akkoc Y, Yesil H, Ekmekci O. Quality of life in patients with multiple sclerosis and urinary disorders: reliability and validity of Turkish-language version of Incontinence Quality of Life Scale. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2010;47:67-72.
2. Schurch B, Denys P, Kozma C, Reese P, Slaton T, Barron R. Reliability and validity of the Incontinence Quality of Life Questionnaire in patients with neurogenic urinary incontinence. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007;88:646-52.
3. Wagner T, Patrick D, Bavendam T, Martin M, Buesching D. Quality of life of persons with urinary incontinence: development of a new measure. *Urology* 1996;47:67-71.
4. Patrick D, Khalaf K, Dmochowski R, Kowalski J, Globe D. Psychometric performance of the Incontinence Quality of Life Questionnaire among patients with overactive bladder and urinary incontinence. *Clin Ther* 2013;35:836-45.

This instrument summary is designed to facilitate the selection of outcome measures by trained clinicians. The information contained in this summary represents a sample of the peer-reviewed research available at the time of this summary's publication. The information contained in this summary does not constitute an endorsement of this instrument for clinical practice. The views expressed are those of the summary authors and do not represent those of authors' employers, instrument owner(s), the *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, the Rehabilitation Measures Database, or the United States Department of Education. The information contained in this summary has not been reviewed externally.

The Rehabilitation Measures Database and Instrument Summary Tear-sheets are funded by the National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research, United States Department of Education through the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Improving Measurement of Medical Rehabilitation Outcomes (H133B090024).

	Measure Name:		Acronym:	Summary Author(s):															
	Urinary Incontinence Quality of Life Scale		I-QOL	J. Keller; L. Long; K. Nitsch; & J. Smiley															
Population (s) Reviewed:	Items:	Score (Min/Max):	Cost of Measure:																
Incontinence & Multiple Sclerosis	22	0 /100	Not Free - http://depts.washington.edu/seaqol/IQOL																
Purpose and Administration Instructions:				Administration Time:															
<p>The I-QOL was developed as a self-report measure of the impact of urinary incontinence on facets of quality of life (QOL).³ The I-QOL is divided into three subscales:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8-Item Domain: Avoidance and Limiting Behavior • 9-Item Domain: Psychosocial Impact • 5-Item Domain: Social Embarrassment <p>A total score, and individual subscale scores are available for interpretation, and scoring can be done by hand or by computer. All raw scores are converted to a scale score ranging from 0 to 100 to facilitate ease of interpretation. Higher scores indicate better quality of life.</p>				5 Minutes															
				Required Equipment:															
				Pencil / Response Form															
				Training Required:															
				No Training Required															
Standard Error of Measurement:¹ (Calculated using published data)	Minimal Detectable Change:¹ (Calculated using published data)		Normative Data:¹ Mean (SD)																
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Score: SEM= 2.43 • ALB Score: SEM= 3.07 • PI Score: SEM= 3.46 • SE Score: SEM= 1.89 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Score: MDC= 6.75 • ALB Score: MDC= 8.52 • PI Score: MDC= 9.61 • SE Score: MDC= 5.26 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Score: 26.32 (8.12) • ALB Score: 26.92 (8.87) • PI Score: 33.35 (10.45) • SE Score: 18.70 (6.00) 																
Reliability:¹	Validity: (Note: Values are from a neurogenic incontinence sample including multiple sclerosis) ²																		
Test-Retest Reliability	<p>Correlations w/ Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Scale—54</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>ALB</th> <th>PSI</th> <th>SE</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Physical Health</td> <td>0.55*</td> <td>0.59*</td> <td>0.53*</td> <td>0.58*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mental Health</td> <td>0.34**</td> <td>0.33*</td> <td>0.40**</td> <td>0.38**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					ALB	PSI	SE	Total	Physical Health	0.55*	0.59*	0.53*	0.58*	Mental Health	0.34**	0.33*	0.40**	0.38**
	ALB	PSI	SE	Total															
Physical Health	0.55*	0.59*	0.53*	0.58*															
Mental Health	0.34**	0.33*	0.40**	0.38**															
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total: <i>Excellent</i> (ICC= 0.91) • ALB: <i>Excellent</i> (ICC= 0.88) • PI: <i>Excellent</i> (ICC= 0.89) • SE: <i>Excellent</i> (ICC= 0.90) 																			
Internal Consistency¹																			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total: <i>Excellent</i> (Alpha= 0.91) • ALB: <i>Excellent</i> (Alpha= 0.88) • PI: <i>Excellent</i> (Alpha= 0.88) • SE: <i>Excellent</i> (Alpha= 0.90) 																			
Considerations:	Floor & Ceiling Effects: (Note: These values are from a general overactive bladder/incontinence sample not specific to multiple sclerosis) ⁴																		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MS data presented is from the Turkish-language version of the I-QOL. • Translated into over 15 languages. • Normative and psychometric data is available for review for most translations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No marked floor or ceiling effects across the I-QOL total or subscale scores. • The SE subscale had the greatest baseline floor (Adequate= 8.9%) and ceiling (Adequate= 1.8%) effects. • Greater ceiling effects were noted after a 12 week retest period, with the largest ceiling effect being seen on the PI subscale (Adequate= 10.8%) and largest floor effect being seen on the SE subscale (Adequate= 2.8%). 																		
Abbreviations:	Cut-off Criteria:																		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ALB: Avoidance & Limiting Behavior • PI: Psychosocial Impact • QOL: Quality of Life • SE: Social Embarrassment • Alpha: Cronbach's Alpha • ICC: Interclass Correlation • SD: Standard Deviations 	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>r</i></th> <th>ICC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Excellent</td> <td>≥ 0.6</td> <td>≥ 0.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adequate</td> <td>0.31-0.59</td> <td>0.40-0.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Poor</td> <td>≤ 0.3</td> <td>< 0.4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					<i>r</i>	ICC	Excellent	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.75	Adequate	0.31-0.59	0.40-0.74	Poor	≤ 0.3	< 0.4			
	<i>r</i>	ICC																	
Excellent	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.75																	
Adequate	0.31-0.59	0.40-0.74																	
Poor	≤ 0.3	< 0.4																	